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Annual Report January 1995 to January 1996
This is the 65th annual report of the American Council on Pharmaceutical Education (ACPE). This report summarizes the ACPE's activities covering the period January 1995 to January 1996, and is available to the profession and to the general public.

Meetings

COLLEGES AND SCHOOLS OF PHARMACY
PROFESSIONAL DEGREE PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Degree Programs in Pharmacy
On the basis of self-studies, on-site evaluations, communications received from the institutions, and comments of the Public Interest Panel, the Council determined the accreditation status along with specified terms and conditions of 21 professional programs, representing 17 Colleges or Schools of Pharmacy. The Directory of Accredited Professional Degree Programs of Colleges and Schools of Pharmacy designates the respective accreditation status of the programs and the academic year for the next currently scheduled evaluation of the professional programs. The accreditation actions taken are as follows:

Professional Programs of Colleges and Schools of Pharmacy, Candidate Status or Accreditation Granted

Auburn University School of Pharmacy, on-site evaluation conducted February 8-9, 1995. Doctor of Pharmacy Program: Accreditation status was granted (advancement from candidate status).

Duquesne University School of Pharmacy, on-site evaluation conducted April 5-6, 1995. Doctor of Pharmacy and Baccalaureate in Pharmacy Programs: Accreditation status was continued.

Howard University College of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, on-site conducted March 29-30, 1995. Doctor of Pharmacy and Baccalaureate in Pharmacy Programs: Accreditation status was continued.

Mercer University Southern School of Pharmacy, on-site evaluation conducted November 13-14, 1995. Doctor of Pharmacy Program: Accreditation status was continued.

Midwestern University Chicago College of Pharmacy, on-site evaluation conducted May 11-12, 1995. Baccalaureate in Pharmacy Program: Accreditation status was granted (advancement from candidate status).

Ohio Northern University Raabe College of Pharmacy, on-site evaluation conducted October 4-6, 1995. Doctor of Pharmacy Program: Candidate accreditation status was granted.

Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science School of Pharmacy, on-site evaluation conducted September 12-14, 1995. Doctor of Pharmacy and Baccalaureate in Pharmacy Programs: Accreditation was continued.

Southwestern Oklahoma State University School of Pharmacy, on-site evaluation conducted February 14-16, 1995. Baccalaureate in Pharmacy Program: Accreditation status was continued.

University of Arkansas College of Pharmacy, on-site conducted January 25-26, 1995. Doctor of Pharmacy Program: Accreditation status was granted (advancement from candidate status).

University of Kansas School of Pharmacy, on-site conducted October 18-20, 1995. Doctor of Pharmacy and Baccalaureate in Pharmacy Programs: Accreditation status was continued.

University of Montana School of Pharmacy and Allied Health Sciences, on-site evaluation conducted September 25-27, 1995. Baccalaureate in Pharmacy Program: Accreditation status was continued.

University of the Pacific School of Pharmacy, on-site conducted February 14-16, 1995. Doctor of Pharmacy Program: Accreditation status was continued.

University of Wisconsin School of Pharmacy, on-site conducted April 19-20, 1995. Doctor of Pharmacy Program: Accreditation status was granted (advancement from candidate status).

Professional Programs of New Colleges and Schools of Pharmacy, Precandidate Status Granted

College of Osteopathic Medicine of the Pacific School of Pharmacy, on-site evaluation conducted August 30-31, 1995. Doctor of Pharmacy program: Precandidate accreditation status was granted.

Shenandoah University School of Pharmacy, on-site evaluation conducted August 23-24, 1995. Doctor of Pharmacy Program: Accreditation status was granted (advancement from candidate status).

Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center School of Pharmacy, on-site evaluation conducted September 6-7, 1995. Doctor of Pharmacy Program: Precandidate accreditation status was granted.

Professional Program of New College or School of Pharmacy, Precandidate Status Considered, Initial Application

Wilkes University School of Pharmacy, application for precandidate status of the Doctor of Pharmacy program was reviewed: an on-site

Monetary During the Accreditation Period

Reports for the professional programs of the following Colleges or Schools were reviewed; the previous accreditation actions were affirmed, and the next review dates were indicated: Samford University School of Pharmacy; University of Connecticut School of Pharmacy; University of Florida College of Pharmacy; Florida A&M University College of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences; Nova Southeastern University College of Pharmacy; Butler University College of Pharmacy; Purdue University School of Pharmacy and Pharmacal Sciences; Drake University College of Pharmacy; Northeast Louisiana University School of Pharmacy; Xavier University of Louisiana College of Pharmacy; Massachusetts College of Pharmacy and Allied Health; Ferris Males University College of Pharmacy; University of Missouri School of Pharmacy; St. John's University College of Pharmacy and Allied Health Professions; Long Island University Arnold and Marie Schwartz College of Pharmacy; Campbell University School of Pharmacy; University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Pharmacy; Ohio State University College of Pharmacy; University of Oklahoma College of Pharmacy; Oregon State University College of Pharmacy: University of Puerto Rico College of Pharmacy; University of Rhode Island College of Pharmacy; University of South Carolina College of Pharmacy; Texas Southern University College of Pharmacy; Washington State University College of Pharmacy: West Virginia School of Pharmacy.

Technical Assistance

Technical assistance was provided to University and/or College officers of Texas Tech University, Wilkes University and Loma Linda University. The technical assistance provided to Loma Linda University included on-site review by professional staff. Consultation regarding the Standards Revision procedure was provided to Oklahoma Board of Regents. On-site consultation was provided to the University of Utah, the University of Mississippi, Massachusetts College of Pharmacy and Allied Health Sciences, and Long Island University.

Evaluation Team Development and Training

A session was held in July 1995, in Philadelphia, PA, for purposes of evaluation team member development and training. The program included the use of case studies with a focus on self-study analysis, strengthening of interview skills, review of guidelines for the on-site evaluation and development of the evaluation team report. Particular attention was given to evaluation and outcome measures.

Guidance for the Self-Study and On-Site Evaluation

A general forum was held in July 1995, in Philadelphia, PA, for those Colleges and Schools of Pharmacy whose next accreditation review was to be conducted during academic years 1995-1996 and 1996-1997. The organization and development of the self-study was discussed and arrangements related to the on-site evaluation were detailed.

Standards Revision Process

The Proposed Revision of Accreditation Standards and Guidelines for the Professional Program in Pharmacy was released to the community of interests in January 1996 for a second comment period. This is the third iteration of the proposed revisions and supplants the two previously distributed documents: the Proposed Revision-Working Draft, August 16, 1992, and the Proposed Revision, April 7, 1993. The Proposed Revision, January 15, 1996, is the product of a participatory process initiated with advance public notice in September 1989, and conducted in accord with the stepwise, decade-long process set forth in January 1990. The first comment period spanned from June 1994-June 1995, and included eleven open hearings. The second comment period, extends from January 15, 1996, to January 2, 1997, and provides for the submission of electronic mail, written comments and/or presentations at ACPE open hearings. All members of the pharmacy community and other interested parties, including members of the general public, are invited to the open hearings; no registration is needed. Copies of the Proposed Revision may be obtained by writing the Council office and are available at the ACPE homepage on the Internet at the following URL: http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/ACPE. Electric mail should be sent to the following Internet address: 76074.2703@compuserve.com. Open hearings are scheduled for Monday, March 11, 1996, 11:00 am-12:15 pm, Opryland Hotel, Nashville, TN; Monday, May 20, 1996, 12:00 noon-1:30 pm. Marriott at Copley Place, Boston, MA; and Wednesday, July 17, 1996, 1:30pm-3:00pm, Nugget Hotel, Reno NV. The subsequent steps of the revision process are for study of the information received during the second comment period and final consideration in June 1997. The effective date is to be set later than the date adopted, perhaps as soon as the year 2000.

Continued Recognition by the U.S. Secretary of Education

The Honorable Richard W. Riley, U.S. Secretary of Education, renewed, through 2001 (the maximum five-year period), recognition of ACPE as the national accrediting agency in pharmacy. ACPE shall continue to be listed by the Secretary as the nationally recognized accrediting agency for the accreditation and preaccreditation (candidate and candidate statuses) of professional degree programs in pharmacy throughout the United States. Secretaryial recognition indicates that ACPE is a reliable authority as to the quality of pharmacy education offered, and is the basis for eligibility of Colleges and Schools of Pharmacy, individual pharmacy faculty members, and pharmacy students to participate in a broad range of non-HEA programs administered by the Department of Education or programs administered by other Federal agencies. These Federal programs include, student financial aid programs administered by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services under Title VII of the Public Health Service Act. ACPE recognition is also used as a criterion for employment as a pharmacist in Veterans Administration and Public Health Service facilities and programs, including student COSTEP programs. Renewal of Secretarial recognition is the culmination of a complex process that was initiated early in 1995. The ACPE submitted a petition for continued recognition that documented compliance with Criteria for Secretarial Recognition as set forth in U.S. Department of Education 34CFR Part 602 and the Higher Education Amendments of 1992. The recognition process involved the review of ACPE operations during two Council meetings and the observation of the work of an ACPE evaluation team during the on-site review of the programs of a College of Pharmacy randomly selected by the Department of Education. Third party comments were solicited in the Federal Register by the Department of Education during the summer of 1995. A subsequent notice was posted in the Federal Register in the fall of 1995, offering individuals the opportunity to appear at a meeting of the National Advisory Committee on Institutional Quality and Integrity. This Committee is charged by relevant statutory and regulatory provisions, to make a recommendation on renewal of recognition based upon its review and the Department of Education's staff analysis. The Department of Education staff analysis resulted in a recommendation of renewal of ACPE for a five-year period without conditions, indicating full compliance with all Criteria for Secretarial recognition. The Department of Education received over 120 written comments in support of continued recognition and 18 responses were received indicating a desire to appear before the National Advisory Committee to provide testimony on behalf of the work of the ACPE. To accommodate the National Advisory Committee's agenda, the Departmental staff asked that oral testimony be consolidated. Harold N. Godwin, President. Daniel A. Nona, Executive Director, Kimberly Werner, Associate Executive Director. and John F. Atkinson, Legal Counsel, represented ACPE at the
National Advisory Committee meeting held in Washington, DC, in November 1995. Third party commenters who spoke at this meeting in support of continued recognition were Mr. Robert Osterhaus, pharmacist, owner of a community pharmacy and past-President of APhA; Dr. David Wittmer, Director, Section of Clinical Specialists, ASHP; Dr. Edwin Webb, Vice President, Professional Affairs and Health Policy, AACP; Dr. Norman Wiggins, President, Campbell University, Buies Creek, NC; Dr. Eucharia Nnadi-Okolo, Dean of the College of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Howard University, also representing the Association of Minority Health Professional Schools and the National Pharmaceutical Association; Mr. Michael Hogue, President, APhA Academy of Students in Pharmacy; and Dr. Richard Bertin, Assistant Surgeon General, U.S. Public Health Service. Following discussion, the National Advisory Committee unanimously voted to recommend that the U.S. Secretary renew recognition of ACPE for the maximum period, with subsequent concurrence by the Secretary of Education.

CONTINUING EDUCATION PROGRAM

Applications/Petitions for Initial and Continued Approval

Twenty organizations received initial approval as an ACPE-Approved Provider of Continuing Pharmaceutical Education. Approval was based upon evaluation of the Applications for Initial Approval submitted. Approval of 64 Providers of Continuing Pharmaceutical Education was continued on the basis of evaluation of the Petition for Continued Approval that included samples of continuing pharmaceutical education programs, a self-assessment report, surveys of program participants, program reviews by State Board of Pharmacy members, and external field reviews. The Directory of Approved Providers of Continuing Pharmaceutical Education designates the respective approval status and the dates of the next currently scheduled evaluation of the providers.

Monitoring During the Approval Period

Interim or progress reports (133) from approved providers were reviewed in accord with issues noted previously and appropriate actions and recommendations were made. Moreover, providers were monitored during the approval period through review of Program Description Forms (PDFs), program announcement materials, responses to questions and requests for information from approved providers, and follow-ups to any written complaints received regarding specific providers and/or continuing pharmaceutical education program offerings.

Provider Guidance

ACRE professional staff provided technical assistance and consultation to approved providers as well as others seeking assistance. Providers met with the professional staff at ACPE headquarters or at other locations of mutual convenience for individualized guidance. Furthermore, organized workshops were offered on a scheduled basis. These workshops were designed for administrators from newly approved providers and for new administrators from established provider organizations so as to provide a working knowledge of criteria, policies and procedures. ACPE staff also interacted with providers during Zeneca’s 12th Annual Symposium for Pharmacy Association Executives; participated in a meeting of the Board of Directors of Pharmat; attended the Glaxo Continuing Education Advisory Committee; and made a presentation to the Illinois Pharmacists Association’s Local Continuing Education Coordinators.

Administrative Developments

Several initiatives were launched that were intended to improve and streamline the provider approval process. Based upon feedback from providers and the Committee on Continuing Education, changes have been made so as to clarify and simplify the Petition for Continued Approval. Furthermore, a survey was conducted of all providers to gain information and insights that may result in continued and overall improvements in the administration of the program. The new Universal Program Numbering System was implemented in accord with a transitional procedure. Continued efforts are being made to assure communications and acceptance by the numbering system by the Boards of Pharmacy.

6th Invitational Conference

The 6th Invitational Conference on Continuing Pharmaceutical Education was held May 18-20, 1995, in Key West, FL. The theme for the Conference was the role of continuing pharmaceutical education in enabling the effective provision of pharmaceutical care. Sessions included the development and use of teaching technologies such as distance learning, and the design and development of interactive offerings. The Conference was preceded by a workshop for new administrators and a session for those providers scheduled for continued approval in the near future. Over 50 percent of ACPE approved providers were represented at the Conference. Evaluations indicated that the program was a success. Invitational Conferences are conducted biennially with the 7th Invitational Conference to be scheduled during 1997.

P.L.A.N. Program

The Pharmacists Learning Assistance Network (P.L.A.N.) continues to serve as a major resource for the profession. Information regarding continuing education opportunities and the provision of guidance for educational needs may be obtained through telephonic consultation (toll-free) and the P.L.A.N. Directory of Home Study Program, which is updated and published each year. This professional resource is supported by an educational grant from Glaxo Wellcome.

T.R.A.C. (Transcript Record Assistance Center)

The development of the Transcript Record Assistance Center (T.R.A.C.) program continues in accord with an established developmental period. A focus group has been assembled for purposes of deploying computer capabilities and piloting the program. T.R.A.C. is intended to provide a centralized continuing pharmaceutical education record-keeping service for pharmacists. The T.R.A.C. program should permit pharmacists to keep track of their continuing pharmaceutical education units as well as to facilitate administrative reporting by providers of continuing pharmaceutical education.

Boards of Pharmacy Continuing Education Requirements

As of the end of 1995, 46 state boards of pharmacy, plus the boards of pharmacy of the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico, require continuing pharmaceutical education for relicensure. This brings the total number of boards requiring continuing education for relicensure to 48. The four states without continuing pharmaceutical education requirements for relicensure are Colorado, Hawaii, New York, and Wisconsin.

ACPE ORGANIZATION AND ADMINISTRATION

Board of Directors and Officers

The Board of Directors and Officers as of January 14, 1996, are Michael E. Hart, Jr.: President, Community Pharmacy Practitioner and Pharmacy Owner, Forest Lake, MN; John W. Mauger: Vice President, Professor of Pharmacutics and Pharmaceutical Chemistry and Dean of the University of Utah College of Pharmacy; and Jody A. Stewart: Secretary-Treasurer, Community Pharmacy Practitioner, and administrator of a corporate chain of drug and food stores. Also serving are: Howard B. Bolton, Executive Director of the Louisiana Board of Pharmacy, New Orleans, LA; J. Chris Bradberry, Professor and Chair of the Section of Pharmacy Practice, University of Oklahoma College of Pharmacy, Oklahoma City, OK; Jack L. Coffey, Community Pharmacy Practitioner and Corporate Pharmacy Owner; Ellen É. Chaffee, President, Valley City State University, Valley City, ND, and President, Mayville State University, Mayville, ND; Harold N. Godwin,
Director of Pharmacy Services, University of Kansas Medical Center and Associate Dean and Chairman of the Department of Pharmacy Practice, University of Kansas School of Pharmacy, Kansas City. KS: James B. Powers, Senior Pharmacist, Agency for Health Care Administration, State of Florida, Tallahassee, FL; and Michael A. Schwartz, Professor of Pharmaceutics and Dean, University of Florida College of Pharmacy, Gainesville, FL.

Professional Staff

The professional staff consists of Daniel A. Nona, Executive Director; and Associate Executive Directors Carl K. Buckner, Jeffrey W. Wadelin, and Kimberly K. Werner. Dr. Buckner joined the ACPE as of May 1, 1995 and brings to the accreditation process a broad range of academic and pharmaceutical industry experiences. From 1987 until joining the ACPE, Dr. Buckner was Principal Pharmacist and Group Leader at Zeneca Inc.; from 1970 to 1987 he was a faculty member at the University of Wisconsin School of Pharmacy (Assitant Professor, 1970-1975; Associate Professor, 1975-1981; and Professor, 1981-1987), Dr. Buckner holds a pharmacy degree from the University of Oklahoma and M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in pharmacology from The Ohio State University- Legal services are provided by Mr. Jack F. Adkinson, of the firm Atkinson and Atkinson, Evanston, IL.

Public Interest Panel

The public interest panel consists of Margot Karlin, Commercial Photographer. Chicago, IL: Julie R. Maloney, Manager, Market Research, Dean Witter Discover and Co., Riverwoods, IL; and Laurence J. O’Connell, President and CEO of the Park Ridge Center for the Study of Health, Faith and Ethics, Chicago, IL. The public interest panel provides public perspectives to the evaluation process through its review of the Colleges and Schools of Pharmacy programs considered for initial or continued accreditation.

Evaluation Team Members

ACPE acknowledges the substantial efforts and dedication of the many individuals who serve voluntarily as evaluation team members. Evaluation teams are selected in accord with procedures that provide for quality, guard against conflict of interest, and attend to diversity. Evaluation teams include both practitioners and educators, and are generally comprised of a Council member, a professional staff member, and other knowledgeable individuals qualified by experience and training. During the year, the following individuals, representing a mix of experienced (80%) and new (20%) evaluators, in addition to ACPE members and staff, served as evaluation team members: Robert S. Boardshley, Associate Dean for Student Affairs and Administration and Professor of Pharmacy Practice and Administrative Sciences, University of Maryland School of Pharmacy; Diane E. Beck, Associate Professor of Clinical Pharmacy Practice, Auburn University School of Pharmacy; Bobby G. Bryant, Professor and Chairman, Department of Pharmacy Practice. Albany College of Pharmacy; John L. Colonize, Dean of Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey College of Pharmacy; Joseph O. Dean, Jr., Dean of Samford University School of Pharmacy. Richard de Leon, Director of Pharmacy Services and Associate Dean for Clinical Services, University of Michigan College of Pharmacy; Donald O. Fedder, Professor, Department of Pharmacy Practice and Science, University of Maryland School of Pharmacy; George E. Franciso, Associate Professor of Pharmacy Practice and Associate Dean. University of Georgia College of Pharmacy; Marcellus Grate, Dean of Xavier University of Louisiana College of Pharmacy; R. Gary Holenbeck, Associate Dean for Academic Programs and Associate Professor. University of Maryland at Baltimore School of Pharmacy; Jana L. Jirak, Externship Director and Associate Professor of Clinical Pharmacy, Ferris State University College of Pharmacy; Elizabeth C. Jackson, Associate Professor of Pharmacy and Associate Head, Swilley Library, Mercer University Southern School of Pharmacy; Doris S. Jackson, Associate Professor and Chairperson, Division of Pharmacy Practice. Texas Southern University College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences; Ronald W. Maddox, Dean of Campbell University School of Pharmacy; H. W. Matthews, Dean of Mercer University Southern School of Pharmacy; Michael W. McKenzie, Associate Dean for Academic And Student Affairs and Professor, University of Florida College of Pharmacy; L.D. Milne, Dean of the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences College of Pharmacy; Eucharia Nnadi-Oko, Dean of Howard University College of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences; Gary M. Oderda, Professor and Head, Department of Pharmacy Practice, University of Utah College of Pharmacy; Richard A. Ohvall, Dean of Oregon State University College of Pharmacy; Thomas P. Reinders, Assistant Dean for Clinical Affiliations and Associate Professor, Virginia Commonwealth University Medical College of Virginia School of Pharmacy; Victoria F. Roche, Professor of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Assistant Dean of Academic Affairs, Creighton University School of Pharmacy and Allied Health Sciences; Donald L. Sorby, Dean of the University of the Pacific School of Pharmacy; Marilyn K. Speedie, Professor and Chairman, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Maryland School of Pharmacy; George R. Spratto, Dean of West Virginia University Health Sciences Center School of Pharmacy; Theodore G. Tong, Professor of Pharmacy Practice, Pharmacology and Toxicology and Associate Dean for Academic Affairs, University of Arizona College of Pharmacy; Barbara G. Wells, Dean of Idaho State University College of Pharmacy; and Victor A. Yanchick, Dean of the University of Oklahoma College of Pharmacy.

Board of Pharmacy Representation

The following representatives of the Board of Pharmacy of the states in which the College or School of Pharmacy is located worked with the evaluation teams: Thomas A. Aday, Texas Tech University; Vance Alexander, Auburn University; Vance Alexander, Auburn University; Bryan Potter, Southwestern Oklahoma State University; Paula L. Castor, Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science; Carl F. Einwiller, Shandos Dough; Larry Frodich, University of Kansas; Darlene Fujimoto, University of the Pacific; Edward J. Harrington, University of Montana; John R. Hofmann, University of Wisconsin; Ellen Lamb, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences; D. Frank Landrum, Mercer University; Sue Neuber, Ohio Northern University; Cheryl A. Robinson, Howard University; Lawrence M. Trippiedi, Midwestern University; Caleb Zia, College of Osteopathic Medicine of the Pacific.

Committee on Continuing Education

The Committee on Continuing Education discussed a number of issues pertinent to continuing pharmaceutical education and the ACPE Provider Approval Program. Appointments to the 1994-1995 Committee on Continuing Education were as follows: Judith S. Christensen, Indiana State Board of Pharmacy, serving as Chair; James C. Appleby, American Pharmaceutical Association; Vincent W. Bernardi, Massachusetts College of Pharmacy and Allied Health Sciences: Ron DeChant, Clinteck Nutrition Company; Christopher Decker, Wisconsin Pharmacists Association; Barbara Hammond, American Society of Hospital Pharmacists; Barbara Mitchell Hoffman, Glaxo Inc.; Mary Jo Hunst, National Association of Boards of Pharmacy; Elizabeth G. Johnson, California Pharmacists Association; Kathryn E. Kuhn. NARD: Carlen McLin, Xavier University of Louisiana College of Pharmacy; Jerry Moore, Alabama State Board of Pharmacy; and Robert Supernaw, University of the Pacific School of Pharmacy.

Vincent W. Bernardi completed his term in July 1995 and was succeeded by Howard Forman of the University at Buffalo. In addition Barbara Mitchell Hoffman was succeeded by Ray Wolf of Glaxo Wellcome, Inc. The next meeting of the Committee is scheduled for March 20, 1995. in Chicago, Illinois.

Field Reviewers

The following individuals served as Field Reviewers for the ACPE Continuing Education Provider Approval Program during
This directory provides a current listing of accredited professional programs, their accreditation status, the dates of the most recent review and the dates of the next currently scheduled review, and names, addresses, phone and fax numbers of the Dean. The directory also includes public information regarding the ACPE accreditation process, notice of opportunity for third party comments on programs being considered for accreditation, and complaint procedures. The directory serves as an important recruitment vehicle assisting prospective students in the selection of Colleges and Schools as well as in the selection of professional programs. Approximately 3,500 copies of the Annual Directory of Approved Providers of Continuing Pharmaceutical Education were distributed during the year, and the pharmacy press was invited to reproduce the directories in their professional publications. Over 25,000 copies of the PLAN Directory of Home Study Programs were distributed by ACPE and in cooperation with Glaxo Wellcome, Inc. Other ACPE publications, included in Appendix A, were made available upon request.

APPENDIX A. ACPE PUBLICATIONS

2. Annual Directory of Accredited Professional Programs of Colleges and Schools of Pharmacy.
3. Annual Directory of Approved Providers of Continuing Pharmaceutical Education.
5. Introduction to the ACPE Continuing Education Provider Approval Program.
7. Policies and Procedures for Approval of Providers of Continuing Education.

To request a copy of any of these publications, please contact the American Council on Pharmaceutical Education. 311 West Superior Street. Suite 512. Chicago. IL 60610 (312-664-3575).

APPENDIX B

ACPE Professional Programs Accreditation and Continuing Education Approval, Operations for the Twelve Months Ending 12/31/95

Receipts
Fees and grants—general $ 493,300
Fees and grants—continuing education 529,197
Interest and Dividends 49,968
Miscellaneous 3,878
Total Receipts $1,076,343

Disbursements
Administrative Professional Services $ 204,359
Disbursement to 1995 Budget 65,911
Meetings/Travel 2,184,467
Salaries/Benefits 587,606
Total Disbursements $ 1,076,343